

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/725,837	12/01/2003	Tony Reid	017622-000130US	3216	
20350	7590 01/05/2005		EXAMINER		
	AND TOWNSEND AN	THANH, Q	THANH, QUANG D		
	RCADERO CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
EIGHTH FLO SAN FRANCI	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		3764		
	,		DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Appli	cation No.	No. Applicant(s)					
			25,837	REID, TONY					
		Exam	iner	Art Unit					
			g D. Thanh	3764					
T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commun eply	ication appears or	n the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress				
THE MAI - Extension after SIX ( - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI s of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 od for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In of the control of th	no event, however, may a rep e statutory minimum of thirty ( and will expire SIX (6) MONT' e application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this on NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	aly. communication.				
Status									
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>26 October</u>	<u>2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ Th	is action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) 🔲 This action	is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
Application	Papers								
9)∐ The	e specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)□ The	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
	References Cited (PTO-892)	70.046		ımmary (PTO-413)					
3) Informati	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (fon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of (s)/Mail Date			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (P <sup>-</sup> 	ГО-152)				

Art Unit: 3764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 10/25/2004. As directed by the amendment: claims 1,3-6, and 8-9 have been amended, and new claim 10 has been added. Thus, claims 1-10 are presently pending in this application.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because: the person who has signed the disclaimer has not stated the extent of his/her interest (percent % of interest not stated), or the business entity's interest, in the application/patent. See 37 CFR 1.321(b)(3).

### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,837 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

2. Claims 1- 10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4-6, 15, of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,141. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the patented claims and the proposed application claims are minor and obvious from each other. The claims of the present application are broader and are met by the narrower patented claims. In the instant case, claims 1, 5-6, 15, of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,141 disclose all the structures of the present application: a first sleeve having ridges (protrusions), a second sleeve, a third sleeve, made of thin fabric having low friction that can exert pressure in the range of 5mmHg to 60 mmHg.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deasy, Jr. (5,171,211) in view of Myers (814,795).
- 5. Re claims 1, 5 and 10, Deasy discloses a system for applying pressure to a body limb, said system comprising: a first sleeve 18 configured to be slid over entire length of the arm from the shoulder to the wrist (fig. 7) and to apply an inward pressure onto the limb; a second sleeve 46 configured to be slid over *substantially* the entire length of the first sleeve (best seen in fig. 7) and to apply additional inward pressure

Art Unit: 3764

along the length of the limb (col. 4, lines 35-45); except it is silent regarding the first sleeve having foam lining ridges formed over an inner surface. However, Myers teaches a system for applying pressure ("compressive covering" disclosed on line 9) to a body limb, said system comprising: a first innermost therapeutic pressure sleeve 1 (TPS) having ridges 3 formed over an inner surface, configured to be slid over the limb (figs. 1 and 3) and to apply an inward pressure onto the limb; a second sleeve 4 configured to be slid over the first sleeve and to apply additional inward pressure onto the limb; and a third sleeve 4 ("4 represents a series of outer encircling bands" as disclosed on lines 42-46) configured to be slid over the second sleeve and to apply additional inward pressure onto said limb (fig.1 shows an inner sleeve 1 and two outer sleeves 4). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to select a foam material for lining the inner surface of the first sleeve for the purpose of providing comfortable cushioning for the wearer during use, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416), and also having ridges formed over an inner surface, as taught and suggest by Myers, for the purpose of reducing edema and providing improved endurance and stamina.

6. Re claims 2 and 6, Deasy discloses that the sleeve may be made of flexible relative heavy and strong elastic material (col. 3, lines 30-40), and therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to select a flexible material that also has a low friction characteristic to assist in removing the sleeve, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In <u>re Leshin</u>, 125 USPQ 416.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,837 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

7. Re claims 4 and 9, Deasy taught that "each component part or portion of the

garment is made of elastic material and is sized so as to be compressive on the body of

the user" (col. 3, lines 46-48) for the purpose of reducing the symptoms of edema (col.

4, lines 65-66), and therefore depending on the size of the user and the limb the device

is placed on, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made, to configure the Deasy' sleeve such that it would be

capable of providing a wide range of radially inward pressure, including slight pressure

or greater pressure in the range of 5 mmHg to 30mmHg.

8. Re claim 7, Myers further discloses a third sleeve 4 ("4 represents a series of

outer encircling bands" as disclosed on lines 42-46) configured to be slid over the

second sleeve and to apply additional inward pressure onto the limb (fig.1 shows an

inner sleeve 1 and two outer sleeves 4).

9. Re claims 3 and 8, Myers' second and third sleeves 4 appear to be thin bands

(fig. 1) and therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art

at the time the invention was made, to select a flexible fabric material to make these

outer sleeves, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art

to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter

of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang D. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday & alternate Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for both regular and After-Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,837 Page 7

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quang D. Thanh Patent Examiner Art Unit 3764 (571) 272-4982 December 30,2004

QT)

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

1/3/05